

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

STANLEY Z. COLE 26620 ST. FRANCIS RD. LOS ALTOS HILLS. CA 94022  DA  Sise a communication from the examined	days from the date of this letter 35 U.S.C. 133
STANLEY Z. COLE  26620 ST. FRANCIS RD.  LOS ALTOS HILLS. CA 94022  DA  Sisse a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.  MAMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on  nortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), are to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned.  THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:  Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice re Pater.  Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 4. Notice of Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. 6. Notice of Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. 6. Claims	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  3407  TE MAILED: 02/22/93  This action is made final.  days from the date of this letter  35 U.S.C. 133  nt Drawing, PTO:948: mal Patent Application; Form PTO-152.  are pending in the application are withdrawn from consideration.
STANLEY Z. COLE 26620 ST. FRANCIS RD. LOS ALTOS HILLS. CA 94022  DA  Site a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.  MAMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  This application has been examined Responsive to communication filled on	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  3407  TE MAILED: 02/22/93  This action is made final.  days from the date of this letter  35 U.S.C. 133  Int Drawing, PTO-948- mal Patent Application; Form PTO-152.  are pending in the application are withdrawn from consideration.
DA  Sign of Claims  Claims  DA  DA  DA  DA  DA  DA  DA  DA  DA  D	3407  TE MAILED: 02/22/93  This action is made final.  days from the date of this letter  35 U.S.C. 133  Int Drawing, PTO:948: mal Patent Application; Form PTO-152.  are pending in the application are withdrawn from consideration.
DA  s is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.  MMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  This application has been examined	TE MAILED: 02/22/93  This action is made final.  days from the date of this letter 35 U.S.C. 133  Int Drawing, PTO-948:  mal Patent Application; Form PTO-152.  are pending in the application.  are withdrawn from consideration.
This application has been examined	days from the date of this letter  35 U.S.C. 133  Int Drawing, PTO-948:  mal Patent Application; Form PTO-152.  are pending in the application.  are withdrawn from consideration.
This application has been examined	days from the date of this letter  35 U.S.C. 133  Int Drawing, PTO-948:  mal Patent Application; Form PTO-152.  are pending in the application.  are withdrawn from consideration.
This application has been examined	days from the date of this letter 35 U.S.C. 133  Int Drawing, PTO-948- mal Patent Application; Form PTO-152.  are pending in the application are withdrawn from consideration.
month(s).  In the Following Attachment(s) are part of this action.  The Following Attachment(s) are part of this action.  Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.  Notice of References Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.  Notice of Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.  Summary of Action  Of the above, claims  Claims  Claims  P—//6  Claims  Claims  P—//6  Claims	days from the date of this letter 35 U.S.C. 133  Int Drawing, PTO-948- mal Patent Application; Form PTO-152.  are pending in the application are withdrawn from consideration.
month(s).  In the Following Attachment(s) are part of this action.  The Following Attachment(s) are part of this action.  Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.  Notice of References Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.  Notice of Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.  Summary of Action  Of the above, claims  Claims  Claims  P—//6  Claims  Claims  P—//6  Claims	days from the date of this letter 35 U.S.C. 133  Int Drawing, PTO-948- mal Patent Application; Form PTO-152.  are pending in the application are withdrawn from consideration.
month(s).  In the Following Attachment(s) are part of this action.  The Following Attachment(s) are part of this action.  Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.  Notice of References Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.  Notice of Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.  Summary of Action  Of the above, claims  Claims  Claims  P—//6  Claims  Claims  P—//6  Claims	days from the date of this letter 35 U.S.C. 133  Int Drawing, PTO-948- mal Patent Application; Form PTO-152.  are pending in the application are withdrawn from consideration.
It is summary of action  Summary of action  Claims  Cl	are withdrawn from considerate
THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:  Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.  Notice of References Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.  Intermation on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.  SUMMARY OF ACTION  Of the above, claims	nt Drawing, PTO-948- mal Patent Application; Form PTO-152.  are pending in the application are withdrawn from consideration.
Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.  Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.  Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-1449.  Notice of Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.  SUMMARY OF ACTION  Of the above, claims  Claims  Claims  GLaims	mal Patent Application; Form PTO-152.  are pending in the application.  are withdrawn from consideration.
Notice of relaterations of the Applicant, PTO-1449.  Notice of Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.  SUMMARY OF ACTION  Claims  Of the above, claims  Claims  Glaims	mal Patent Application; Form PTO-152.  are pending in the application.  are withdrawn from consideration.
Notice of Art Clear by Application  Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.  SUMMARY OF ACTION  Of the above, claims  Claims  Claims  GLaims	are pending in the applica
11 SUMMARY OF ACTION  1. Claims ————————————————————————————————————	are withdrawn from considerate
1.	are withdrawn from considerate
Of the above, claims  2. □ Claims  3. □ Claims	are withdrawn from considerate
2. Claims	
2. Claims	have been cancelled.
3. Claims	
3. Claims	allawad
W	are allowed.
<i>r</i> .	are rejected.
	are objected to.
<del>-</del>	
6. Claims are s	
7.	cceptable for examination purposes.
8.	
	Linder 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings
9. ☐ The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on	PTO-948).
The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on examiner.    disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).	- Has (Have) been to approve as the
	and I disapproved (see explanation)
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed on, has been approx	/ed. 🗀 disapproved (see explanation).
12. Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy	has Deen received not been received.
been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on;	
The state of the s	
<ol> <li>Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for format matter accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>	rs, prosecution as to the merits is closed in
accordance with the present and a second and	rs, prosecution as to the merits is closed in

Serial No. 980,680

Art Unit 3407

Claims 9-16 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No. 5,181,556.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they claim less than the patented claims.

The obviousness-type double patenting rejection is a judicially established doctrine based upon public policy and is primarily intended to prevent prolongation of the patent term by prohibiting claims in a second patent not patentably distinct from claims in a first patent. In re Vogel, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970). A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.321(h) would overcome an actual or provisional rejection on this ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.78(d).

An abstract must be provided.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being

Serial No. 980,680

Art Unit 3407

unpatentable over Lamont Jr. in view of Person or Fitch et al.

Lamont in Figure 8 shows the claimed system using argon. to use helium as taught by Person or Fitch et al would be obvious since it would increase the heat transfer. The distance and temperature are deemed to be obvious matters of design.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Al Davis at telephone number (703) 308-2620.

ALBERT W. DAVIS JR.
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 347

A. DAVIS:1m February 09, 1993